

DataVita

Technical Requirements

- 3.1.1 Location Requirements
- 3.1.2 Facility Requirements
- 3.1.3 Physical Space in DC
- 3.1.4 Storage provision
- 3.1.5 Safety
- 3.1.6 Support
- 3.1.7 On site Power Provision
- 3.1.8 Power & Cooling Efficiency
- 3.1.9 Intra-rack Cabling
- 3.1.10 On site Security
- 3.1.11 Network Provision
- 3.1.12 Accreditation (ISO)

Service Requirements

- 3.2.1 Contract Responsibilities and Additional Services
- 3.2.2 Delivery

Requirement Details & Description

- Provide details of how your proposal meets RoS's location, facility, space, storage and safety requirements in Sections 3.1.1 through 3.1.5 and how you can assist in delivering the best solution and value for money for RoS.
- Demonstrate how your proposal meets or exceeds the support levels requested in Section 3.1.6 Support, including access, downtime/availability, cross connects, remote/smart hands covering physical activities such as moves and component replacement i.e. disks
- Suppliers should demonstrate they meet all of the power requirements detailed in Section 3.1.7 on-site power provision and in Section 3.1.8 power and cooling efficiency. For power suppliers should provide evidence of datacentre power resiliency across source and distribution, along with uptime information from the previous year.
- Suppliers should confirm that any Datacentre being proposed can deliver a compliant cabling solution for RoS in line with Section 3.1.9. Suppliers should be clear in their response when differentiating between the works being carried out by the Datacentre provider or if RoS are able to undertake any of the works themselves
- Provide details of the security technology & procedures used at your proposed datacentre as detailed in Section 3.1.10 On site Security.

- As per Section 3.1.11, please provide confirmation of thirdparty network connectivity options as well as providing relevant information on the proposed datacentre's resiliency for fibre entry points.
- Provide evidence that your proposed datacentre meets the requested standards and accreditations (or equivalent) in Section 3.1.12 Accreditation (ISO 9001 - Quality Management, ISO 27001 – Information Security and ISO 14001 – Environmental).
- Provide details on the service requirements detailed in Section 3.2.1 including contract responsibilities, contract relationship (if effected by insolvency), confirmation of termination periods for reducing footprint and how you can assist in delivering value for RoS with potential additional services applicable to the framework. Responses should provide details on how issues are resolved with the RoS team if they become apparent.
- Details are requested for service availability/approximate lead time of space being ready for installation as detailed in Section 3.2.2 including confirmation of the minimum notice period with no loss of service works.
- Suppliers should evidence how they will meet the sustainability minimum legal requirements for this contract and provide assurance that they will keep abreast of changes in the law and comply with any new legal requirements throughout the contract period.
- Suppliers should provide a method statement to detail how they will deliver the additional sustainability requirements for this contract as noted in Section 7 Sustainability and Environmental Management including the reporting data required on a quarterly basis and as part of the contract review management process.

Equinix (Softcat)

The following requirements were set out in the original ITQ used in a previous procurement exercise.

Requirements

- Rack Provisioning and Footprint
- Rack Purchase, Rental and Customer-Owned Rack Options
- Network Connectivity and On-Net Presence
- Optional Out-of-Band Internet Connectivity
- Power Provision and Resilience
- Cooling and Environmental Controls
- Physical Security and Access Monitoring
- Access Management and Authorisation Processes
- 24/7 Datacentre Access and Availability
- Remote Hands Support
- Conference and Build Room Facilities
- Service Availability and Planned Works Notifications
- Accreditations and Compliance Standards
- Contract Commencement Requirements

Additional ITQ requirements provided to Equinix in 2024

- 3.1.1 Contract Responsibilities and Additional Services
- 3.1.2 Delivery
- 3.1.3 Accreditation

Requirement Details & Description

- Provide confirmation that you are a direct/official partner of Equinix MA3
- Provide details of the call raising/additional services procedures including how these are charged back to the customer.
- Provide details how you will achieve the applicable service requirements stated in section 3.1 with associated cross connects, existing rack and cross connects to be retained to avoid moving equipment. Also provide evidence that your proposal meets the requested standards and accreditations (or equivalent).
- Suppliers should evidence how they will meet the sustainability minimum legal requirements for this contract and provide assurance that they will keep abreast of changes in the law and comply with any new legal requirements throughout the contract period
- Suppliers must provide a method statement which evidences how the requirements set out in Section 7 will be met:
 - National and RoS-specific sustainability standards and targets.

Examples of information that the organisation currently supplies to other clients may be included in the evidence

Telehouse West (Nigsun)

Procured through the G cloud contract award service. 1 result based on the following criteria;

Lot: Cloud hosting, All categories, All subcategories, Search term: *“hyper scale hosting for cloud-native applications, Datacentre security standard: Complies with a recognised standard (for example CSA CCM version 3.0); Minimum government security clearance: Baseline Personnel Security Standard (BPSS)”*

Evaluation criteria, weightings and scoring methodology

SCORE	RESPONSE
0 – Unacceptable	Nil or inadequate response. Fails to demonstrate an ability to meet the requirement
1 – Poor	Response is partially relevant but generally poor. The response addresses some elements of the requirement but contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the requirement will be fulfilled
2 – Acceptable	Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but may lack details on how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas.
3 – Good	Response is relevant and good. The response is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate a good understanding and provides details on how the requirements will be fulfilled
4 - Excellent	Response is completely relevant and excellent overall. The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full

Procurement Journey

Tender Evaluation Template

Please note you should only type in yellow cells. Type shown in italics is for illustration purposes. Actual criteria, weightings and data will vary from project to project. Additional Tenders can be added by inserting THREE columns per tender and copying formula from an existing tender. Add the tenders to the Price Criteria and Quality Criteria worksheets FIRST and align new entries across ALL three worksheets.

Enter Tenderer's name on Instructions worksheet

Procurement title:		<i>Project X</i>		Members of Evaluation Team:		<i>Member 1, Member 2, Member 3</i>					
Project quality weighting (%):		0%		Overall Quality Threshold (optional):		0					
Project price weighting (%):		0%									
QUALITY SCORES											
				<i>Tenderer's name</i>				<i>Tenderer's name</i>			
Example Technical & Quality Criteria		Individual Quality Threshold (optional)	Section Criteria Weight	Quality Threshold reached?	Section Weighted Score	Quality Threshold reached?	Section Weighted Score	Quality Threshold reached?	Section Weighted Score	Quality Threshold reached?	Section Weighted Score
<i>Functionality</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
<i>Methodology</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
<i>Innovation</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
<i>Maintainability</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
<i>After sales assistance and support</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
<i>Security</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
<i>Sustainability Considerations</i>		0	0%	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00	Yes	0.00
Quality Totals (MUST EQUAL 100%)			0%		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
Is overall quality threshold reached?					Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
				OVERALL QUALITY SCORE		0.00		OVERALL QUALITY SCORE		0.00	
PRICE SCORES											
Tender price (whole life costs)		Lowest Cost		Tenderer's name price =		£0.00		Tenderer's name price =		£0.00	
Weighted Price score		£0.00		Tenderer's name price score =		0		Tenderer's name price score =		0	
Weighted Quality Score				0% x 0.0 =		0.00		0% x 0.0 =		0.00	
Project price weighting x price score				0% x 0.0 =		0.00		0% x 0.0 =		0.00	
Overall score				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Order of tenders (ranking)				1		1		1		1	
				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Comments											

Signed by members of the Tender Board (for file copy) _____ Date _____
