

KIR Consultation Survey Response

PAGE 2: Information about you

Q3: Are you responding as: (please select below)
an individual

PAGE 3

Q4: Individuals Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and on the RoS website)?
Yes

PAGE 4

Q5: Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your response available to the public on the following basis (Please select ONE of the options)
Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5

Q6: On behalf of groups or organisations The name of your organisation WILL BE made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and on the RoS website). Are you content for your response to be made available?
No

PAGE 16

Q7: 1. Do you agree with the proposed approach to KIR starting with residential properties in research areas?
Yes

Q8: 2. Do you agree that we should start KIR in areas that will have the highest impact on completing the land register and supporting conveyancing?
Yes

Q9: Q3. Do you agree that we should work in partnership with the owners of heritage assets to complete registration of their titles by KIR?
Yes

PAGE 19

Q10: Q3. Should land that has entered the land register through KIR be identified differently from a trigger-based or voluntary registration through a note in the property section of the title sheet, and/or a separate field marking the date of keeper-induced registration?
Yes

PAGE 22

Q11: Q4. Do you agree with the Keeper's general approach to the KIR mapping of legal extent?
Yes

PAGE 24

Q12: Q5. Do you agree with the keeper's proposed approach to incorporeal pertinents?
Yes

KIR Consultation Survey Response

PAGE 25

Q13: Q6. Do you agree with the keeper's proposed approach to property titles that include an 'equally and survivor' destination or are held by ex-officio trustees?

Yes

Q14: Q7. Are there any other circumstances where the sasine register may not show the last person with a completed title?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 27

Q15: Q8. Do you foresee any practical difficulties in narrating a list of the deeds that contain encumbrances, rather than setting out the burdens in full? If so, how could these difficulties be addressed?

No

Comment: As long as the deeds are easily accessible for reference if needed.

Q16: Q9. Do you agree that the keeper should adopt the same approach to listing deeds in the burdens section for triggered registrations with a hyperlink to the text of the deed?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 28

Q17: Q10. Are you content with how we plan to communicate KIR?

Yes

PAGE 29

Q18: Q11. Do you agree the keeper should produce guidance on the additional information likely to be required at the next transaction after a KIR?

Yes