Response ID ANON-CEQC-5N5E-S

Submitted to **Digital Transformation: Next Steps**Submitted on **2017-02-15 16:34:50**

Comments:

Part 1 - New digital services in the Keeper's registers
1 Do you agree that transition to a digital first service should be the next step?
Yes
Comments: So you already are not seeking consultation, merely offering me a binary choice of options . This can only skew any result. I am minded to not waste any time with you. No way to consult, shame on you and your consultants!
2 Do you agree with the proposed timescale of 1 April 2018 for prescribing that advance notices over part be fully digital?
No
Comments: Simply, until you can guarantee 100% of all eligible citizens access to the internet from their residence this cannot be fair or unprejudiced government. It is ready, aim Fire and we the people are not ready. Do it right or go home.
3 Do you agree with a notice period of six months?
Yes
Comments:
4 Do you agree the initial focus for digital registration, following launch of the digital discharge service, should be provision of channels aimed at standard securities and dispositions?
Yes
Comments:
5 What deed types do you consider we should prioritise for new services subsequent to securities and dispositions?
Comments: Reds of covenant first.
6 Do you consider that for the limited purpose of dual recording, it should be permissible to record an electronic deed in the sasine register or should be permissible to record a paper copy of the digital deed?
Comments: Paper copy with verifiable certification procedures adopted As in industry, e.g. by rolls Royce or D N V to assure their validity and acceptability.
7 Do you agree that ten working days from the date of digital submission is an appropriate period to allow the prior deeds to be submitted?
Yes
Comments:
8 Do you have a view on alternative ways you would like to present supporting documents accompanying a digital application?
Yes
Comments: See comments on 6 above.
Part 2 - Digital application form and submission process
9 Do you agree with the main changes that we propose to make to the application form?
Yes

10 Are there any other changes you suggest we should make with a view to simplifying it and making it easier to follow?

Comments:

Start each web page with a flow chart diagram of the stages end to end and highlight where you are now. Allow bookmarking for users to save and return if the have a poor internet connection, have to pause, etc.

11 Do you agree that instead of prescribing the content of the application form in the Land Register Rules, the keeper should publish the land registration application form on an administrative basis so that it can be amended from time to time, following consultation and due notice, without the need for changes to be made to the rules?

Yes

Comments:

12 Do you agree that this approach should be adopted for both applications submitted on paper and applications prepared through a digital service provided by the keeper?

Yes

Comments:

About You

What is your name?

Name:

What is your email address?

Email:

Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

Individual

What is your organisation?

Organisation:

The Scottish Government would like your permission to publish your consultation response. Please indicate your publishing preference:

Publish response only (without name) - Individuals only

We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?

Yes

Evaluation

Please help us improve our consultations by answering the questions below. (Responses to the evaluation will not be published.)

Matrix 1 - How satisfied were you with this consultation?:

Slightly dissatisfied

Please enter comments here.:

Avoid prescriptive form please. You seek consultation, so consult. Any binary questions need to be kept to the minimum and used for very basic information gathering, not for decision making, otherwise you risk another European referendum situation, careful what options you offer, if people are not free to express their views they may just turn antsy.

Matrix 1 - How would you rate your satisfaction with using this platform (Citizen Space) to respond to this consultation?:

Please enter comments here.: