

**Response Type:**

Normal Response

**Collector:**Web Link  
(Web Link)[Edit Response](#)[Delete](#)**Custom Value:***empty***IP Address:**

80.229.43.156

**Response Started:**

Tuesday, December 3, 2013 4:18:05 AM

**Response Modified:**

Tuesday, December 3, 2013 9:02:55 AM

[Show this Page Only](#)**1. Name**

HUGH McKAY

**2. Organisation Name:**

LAWSON,COULL &amp; DUNCAN

**3. Postal Address:**

XXXXX

**4. Email**

XXXXX

**5. 1: Are you responding as : (please select below)**

an individual (go to 2a/2b)

**6. 2a: INDIVIDUALS Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and on the RoS website)?**

Yes (go to 74 below)

**7. 2b: Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your response available to the public on the following basis (Please select ONE of the options)**

Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address

**8. 2c: ON BEHALF OF GROUPS OR ORGANISATIONS The name and address of your organisation WILL BE made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and on the RoS website). Are you content for your response to be made available?****No Response****9. 3: We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for the Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)**1. Question 1: Do you agree that the Keeper should use separate title sheets for the landlord's and tenant's rights on all occasions rather than opting to use a single title sheet?****No Response**[Show this Page Only](#)**1. Question 2: Do you agree with the proposed change of name and terminology for this entry?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 3: Do you agree that a schedule in the property section is the appropriate means to reflect the cross-referral to other title sheets?**

No

Insufficient information has been provided as to the proposed form of the schedule, so I cannot comment

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 4: Do you consider that the "date title sheet updated to" should continue to be reflected in the title sheet and provision made in the Rules?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 5: Do you agree that the Keeper should omit from the property section of the title sheet details of the map reference and size of a registered plot?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 6: Do you agree that the Keeper should continue to disclose the consideration in the proprietorship section and provide for this in the Rules?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 7: Do you agree that the date of entry should no longer be included in the title sheet?**

No

It will be inconvenient to be required to refer to the archive record. I would assume this would also involve additional cost.

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 8: Do you agree with the proposed approach for the removal of overriding interests no longer required to be entered?**

No

In have no strong opinion , but I think the Land Register should contain as much information as possible.

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 9: Has the reference in the property section to a deed constituting a servitude been of assistance to you?**

Frequently

Again, it makes it much clearer that a property is affected by a servitude.

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 10: Do you agree that the land register should not reflect information regarding occupancy rights?**

No

One of the big advantages of Land Registration is that solicitors are not required to retain title documentation. That would no longer be the case.

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 11: Do you agree that discontiguous areas of land that are relative to each other by ownership and purpose may be grouped as a single cadastral unit?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 12: Do you agree that the seabed should be designated as a single operational area?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 13: Do you agree that the description of a seabed plot should comprise a verbal description, a description by reference to longitude and latitude coordinates, and a plan?**

Yes

**2. Question 14: Do you consider that where such information is submitted to the Keeper it should be included in the property section?**

Yes

**3. Question 15: Do you consider that a table of latitude and longitude coordinates should be utilised where all or part of the plot is covered by water i.e. should not be limited to seabed plots only?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 16: Do you consider that including the plan of the individual flat as supplementary data to the title sheet is helpful?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 17: Do you consider that including the plan of the individual area leased as supplementary data to the lease title sheet is more helpful than showing the data on the cadastral unit?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 18: Do you agree that where an area of common ground is affected by the 25-metre rule, the whole of the common area should be treated as a separate cadastral unit?**

No

If part of the pertinent lies within 25 metres ,the whole pertinent should be INCLUDED. Each part would then lie within 25 metres of an included part.

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 19: Do you accept that where historical conveyancing does not quantify the share, and where common law rules apply, the Keeper should require specification of shares in the deed to be registered?**

No

If the keeper is applying her interpretation of historical conveyancing , the wording regarding shares should not be required. The keeper should be able to interpret the original description. It is wrong to require agents to anticipate what the keeper will decide.

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 20: Do you agree that where multiple plots of land with differing uses are owned in common, the shared areas should be grouped as a single cadastral unit?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 21: Do you agree that a list of registrable deeds together with the enactment under which they are registrable will assist you in completion of the application form?**

No

Any information required should be included in the style forms provided by the Keeper

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 22: Do you agree with this approach for supporting documents?**

No

As for the previous answer, this should be included in the appropriate registration form

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 23: Do you agree that reference to an individual house plot from an approved development plan is sufficient to describe the part of the plot in terms of the conditions of registration?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 24: Do you agree that the Keeper should issue an email to acknowledge when an application for registration is entered onto the application record?**

Yes

**2. Question 25: Do you agree that the provisional title number should be contained in the acknowledgement?**

Yes

**3. Question 26: Do you agree that the acknowledgement should also contain the other information that is currently included, namely details of the subjects, deed, parties, date of registration and application number?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 27: Do you agree that, in the limited circumstances where they will be permitted, the requisition policy should be applied equally to all application types?**

Yes

**2. Question 28: Do you agree that nothing further on requisitions is required in the Rules?**

No

It is current practice not to submit all documents listed on a Form 4 - as the Keeper has probably already seen them. If it is now intended that everything which might be relevant must be submitted this must be made very clear.

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 29: Do you agree that a period of standover of 30 days in relation to requisitions made under the Act is appropriate?**

No

There should be provision for extension , perhaps only in certain circumstances and only on request ,but an absolute limit is unreasonable.

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 30: Do you agree that notification upon the acceptance, rejection or withdrawal of an application should be by electronic means only?**

No

I have encountered numerous problems with the Keeper failing to issue emails, such as acknowledgements or sending to the wrong address. I have no confidence that these problems will end.

**2. Question 31: Do you agree that the applicant should provide an email address for the grantor or the grantor's agent on the application form?**

---

No

each party should be responsible for the accuracy of its own information. I do not wish to be reliant on other firms submitting correct information

**3. Question 32: Do you agree that where no email address is available in respect of the notification provisions relating to automatic plot registration, Keeper induced registration, prescriptive claimants or rectification, that the Keeper should notify by post to the last known address of the person?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 33: Do you consider that in terms of section 41 the Keeper should notify only the proprietor of the plot of land registered as a result of an automatic plot registration under section 25?**

No

These intimations will be a consequence of automatic registration. The Keeper should not pass responsibility to anyone else.

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 34. Do you agree that the Keeper's policies for evidence of possession in terms of section 43(3) should be set out in guidance rather than prescribed in the Rules?**

Yes

**2. Question 35: Do you agree that the types of evidence set out above should be required and that guidance on the appropriate wording of affidavit evidence should be provided?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 36: Do you agree that the requirements for evidence of notification in terms of section 43(4) should be set out in guidance rather than prescribed in the Rules?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 37: Do you agree that notification under section 43(4) should be by recorded delivery mail in order to satisfy the Keeper that notification has taken place?**

Yes

**2. Question 38: Do you agree that the requirement for recorded delivery mail and a prescribed style for giving notice should be included in the Rules?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 39: Do you agree that under section 45(1) the Keeper should only re-notify those persons already notified by the applicant under section 43(4)?**

Yes

**2. Question 40: Do you agree that where notification has already taken place under section 43(4)(a) or (b) then notification by the Keeper should be by mail (but not recorded delivery) to the same address?**

Yes

**3. Question 41: Do you agree that in terms of section 45(2) where the numbers involved could make individual notification prohibitive the Keeper should explore alternatives such as notification to a residents' association?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 42: Do you agree that the Rules should make further provision regarding a minimum period for notification to take place prior to a prescriptive claimant application being submitted?**

Yes

**2. Question 43: If so, do you agree that 60 days is a suitable period?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 44: Do you agree that draft styles should be developed for decrees of reduction and orders for rectification of documents, and that the Keeper should seek to have these styles included in the Rules of Court?**

Yes

**2. Question 45: Do you agree that the Keeper should publish guidance on the registration criteria for arbitral awards in advance of the designated day?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 46: Do you agree that the advance notice form should include both the application form and the advance notice in one document?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 47: Do you agree that a plan capable of allowing the plot of ground to be identified should be a requirement for an advance notice for a deed that will be a breakaway deed from subjects in the Sasine Register?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 48: Do you agree that the end of the protected period is the appropriate time to remove the delineation from the cadastral map?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 49: Would you see a benefit in any other unilateral deed being included in an Order under section 64?**

Yes

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 50: Do you agree that the name of the deed used to register a fixed boundary agreement should be Shifting Boundary Agreement?**

No

It is contradictory. On the face of it, it should be called a Fixed Boundary Agreement

**2. Question 51: Do you agree that the note in the property section of the affected title sheets should be drafted as follows? Note: The boundary between the points lettered A - B in blue on the Cadastral Map has been agreed in terms of the [Shifting Boundary Agreement] between [xxxx] and [xxxx] registered [xxxx].**

No

see above. It would also be clearer to state "has been fixed " rather than "has been agreed "

[Show this Page Only](#)

**1. Question 52: Do you agree that the property section is the appropriate place to enter a caveat against the title?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 53: Do you agree that requests to vary warranty in between registration events should be submitted on a specified form?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 54: Do you agree that the Keeper should not restrict warranty purely on the basis of the existence of a caveat?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 55: Do you agree that for warranty granted as part of a registration under section 25 or 29, there should be a statement on the title sheet to show that warranty was granted under section 74?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 56: Do you agree that any interest rate paid on claims for compensation should be aligned to the Bank of England Base Rate?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 57: Do you agree that the persons to be notified of a rectification should not be prescribed in the Rules?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 58: Do you agree that the parties consenting to rectification should be capable of demonstrating that they would have title and interest to be heard in court on the issue?**

No

There should be a list of people who would be required to be intimated regarding consent other than in exceptional circumstances

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 59: Do you agree the Keeper should only consider removing a burden as a result of section 50 of the Title Conditions (Scotland) Act 2003 where she is provided with details of a manifest inaccuracy in a particular title sheet and the manner of rectification sought?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 60: Do you consider that where realignment may not have occurred, other than in exceptional cases where matters are beyond doubt, the Keeper can only rectify where judicial determination has established that the register is inaccurate?**

No

the balance should be in favour of realignment (ie it should happen unless it is beyond doubt that it should not happen )

**2. Question 61: Do you consider that where realignment may not have occurred, other than in exceptional cases where matters are beyond doubt, the Keeper can only rectify where judicial determination has established that the register is inaccurate?**

No

Same question!

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 62: In which circumstances would you need an extract with evidential status showing more than one cadastral unit at a time?**

**No Response**

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 63: Do you agree that access to the Keeper's registers should be provided for by order of the Scottish Ministers and that such access should continue via the Customer Service Centres by letter, email or in person?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 64: Do you agree that an optional form to inform the Keeper of potential manifest inaccuracies in the land register should be prescribed in the Rules?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 65: Do you agree that the Rules should prescribe only one application form?**

Yes

but only if the questions asked are sufficiently clear. That is not the case at present

Show this Page Only

**1. Question 66: Do you agree that the Rules should prescribe an optional form to obtain extracts of the title sheet, cadastral map or document in the archive record?**

Yes

Show this Page Only

**1. Please give additional comments about any aspect of implementation of the Act and related matters here (if you have any further additional comments then please email [consultations@ros.gov.uk](mailto:consultations@ros.gov.uk)):**

It should not be used as an excuse for charging agents for re-presenting applications previously rejected. Again I have experience of applications being returned for incorrect reasons.